



Consultation Response Form

How to make comments

You need fill out only one copy of your contact details. However, **please fill in a separate response form for each site or issue that you wish to comment on.** Please indicate in the box provided on the contact details form the total number of pages enclosed. Please complete the attached Equality Monitoring Form if you wish.

An electronic copy of this form is available at www.southlakeland.gov.uk/landallocations

Electronic forms or responses by email can be sent to developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Responses on paper copies of this form should be posted or faxed to:

Development Strategy Manager
South Lakeland District Council
South Lakeland House
Lowther Street
Kendal
LA9 4DL

Fax: 01539 717355

You may also hand in your form to the council offices at:

- South Lakeland House, Lowther Street, Kendal; or
- Ulverston Local Link (Town Hall)

If you require additional copies of the form please call 01539 717490 or email developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk.

Internet access is available at your local library and at South Lakeland House, Kendal.

Please ensure that your comments reach the Council Offices at South Lakeland House, Kendal no later than Friday 9th September 2011.

Your contact details and privacy

Anonymous comments will not be accepted. Comments cannot be treated as confidential and will be available for public inspection. Your submitted comments will be used in the preparation of the LDF.

Contact details, signatures and private addresses will not be made public. Any data that you supply will be held in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998.

Viewing the relevant documents

The consultation document, which includes maps of the sites we would like comments on can be viewed at council offices and local libraries and downloaded from the Council website

Any questions?

If you need help completing the comments sheet, require further information or are unsure about any aspect of the consultation, our Development Plans Team will be pleased to advise.

Contact details are:

Tel: **01539 717490**

Email: developmentplans@southlakeland.gov.uk



Consultation Response Form

Your contact details

If you are completing a paper copy of this form please use CAPITALS and BLACK INK.

Your details		Your Agent's details (if you have one)	
Organisation:		Organisation:	
Name: DAVID STAMP		Name:	
Address:		Address:	
Postcode:		Postcode:	
Tel:		Tel:	
*Email:		*Email:	

*We aim to minimise the amount of paper printed and sent out. Therefore, where an email address is supplied, future contact will be made electronically.

This response contains 7 pages including this one.

✓ Please tick the box if you would like us to notify you when the Land Allocations Development Plan Document is submitted to the Secretary of State for independent examination and when it is adopted by the Council.

Land Allocations - Further Consultation

Please use this form to comment on:

1. Alternative sites put forward by respondents to the earlier Land Allocations consultation (January - April 2011);
2. Time span of the Land Allocations document
3. The approach to development in small villages, hamlets and the countryside.

Please complete one of these sheets for every response you make.

(Please also note that comments made in earlier consultation need not be repeated.)

1. Alternative Sites

Please let us have your views on alternative sites suggested by respondents to the previous consultation. (Please note, these are not SLDC suggestions.)

Which site do you wish to comment on?		
Settlement (e.g. Natland)	Site reference number (e.g. RN298#)	
BARBON	RN279#	
Please indicate below whether you support, support in part or oppose the suggestion that this site be included in the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)		
Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Support in part <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)		
<p>SEE MY LETTER ATTACHED DATED AUGUST 7, 2011.</p> <p>This has also been copied to the Barbon Parish Council today.</p>		

2. Time Span of Land Allocations Document:

Should the Land Allocations document plan period remain 2003 – 2025 or cover a shorter period, for example, 2003-2020?

Please indicate whether you support, support in part or oppose a reduction in the time span of the Land Allocations document (please tick as appropriate)

Support ☐

Support in part ☐

Oppose ☒

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

PLANNING SHOULD BE DONE OVER A LONGER PERIOD TO BE CONSISTENT AND ALLOW PHASED AND LOGICAL LONG TERM IMPROVEMENTS IN ANY AREA.

3. Small Villages, Hamlets & Open Countryside

Do you think the future housing and employment land needs of small villages, hamlets and open countryside are best met by: -

- A. Allocating sites for houses and employment in the Land Allocations document; or
- B. Communities and/or developers bringing forward sites for housing and employment for consideration under relevant Core Strategy policies, through neighbourhood plans and/or other local initiatives.

Please indicate which of the above options you would support. (Please tick as appropriate)

A ☐

B ☒

Please explain your reasons/add your comments below (continue on a separate sheet/expand box if necessary)

LOCALS WILL ALWAYS KNOW BEST ABOUT LOCAL NEEDS & ISSUES.

Thank you for your views and suggestions.

Letter to:

South Lakeland District Council
Barbon Parish Council

David Stamp

August 7, 2011

Reference: SLDC LDF Site Allocations – Barbon / RN279#

Dear Sirs,

I would like to make the following comments regarding the SLDC LDF Site Allocations Plan for Barbon and in particular item RN279#

This particular area of land RN279# is agricultural, with a narrow centre strip which was once a railway line (the disused Ingleton to Tebay branch line – closed in 1960's).

Barnrigg, the road on which I live, was built in the period from the mid 1970's to the present. On the southerly edge of the Barnrigg development (now complete) there were major planning restrictions preventing any development south of a line from the Village Hall to the back of Stirk House.

Please note these observations and objections regarding the RN279# development area proposed:

1. There appears to be no viable vehicle access for residents of any new properties allowed to be built on this land
2. Planning guidelines allow 'infill' where there is road access only
3. There is a very narrow access gateway from the South end of Barnrigg onto the developers land, but this is only provided for theoretical maintenance access by Rail Track (or its successors) to the metal railway bridge on Watery Lane
4. This access strip is *far too narrow* to cope with vehicle access to any development proposed on the land in question. It is single vehicle width with no separate pedestrian pathway – it is only about 4m wide at its widest point. It also has an awkward angle dogleg access from Barnrigg itself, over a public pathway, at a corner of the turning bay
5. It would not support vehicle access for any of these purposes:
 - a. Multiple new households with many vehicle entries/exits each day (single vehicle width/no pedestrian footpath/danger to pedestrians)
 - b. Fire engine, ambulance or other emergency services (tight turn/narrow width)
 - c. Developers trucks with building materials/cement mixers (tight turn/narrow width)
6. Any use of this narrow access strip for regular residential entries/exits to/from the development land area would make the current hammerhead turning area at the south end of Barnrigg unsafe for all road users. Many people do turn into Barnrigg and have to use this turning area. It would also make access dangerous for the properties either side of it, as both have driveways immediately adjacent
7. Because all the Barnrigg properties built in recent years (those at the south end) have had to be built or further developed under a strict SLDC planning line which prevented residential use/building South of a line - Village Hall to Stirk House - it is *not* logical now to:
 - a. Delete this planning constraint that others had to abide by

b. Allow a specific large scale development on the agricultural land RN279#

Additionally I would like to point out that your official SLDC 'plan' outlining this proposed area is incorrect in several areas. It does not show at all the two most recently built properties in Barnrigg (Oak House and Low Fell House) for instance.

In view of all the above concerns, I believe that development of this land RN279# for housing or any other use other than agricultural is not appropriate or feasible and should not be included in any Land Allocation or Development Plan.

Yours sincerely

David Stamp